UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V. Darrell Charles Hamilton Defendant		Case No. 1:12 CR 294
	After conducting a detention hearing ulefendant be detained pending trial.	nder the Bail Reform Act, 1	8 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
mai me u	referridant be detained pending that.	Part I – Findings of	Fact
(1)		fense described in 18 U.S.	C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined which the prison term is 10 ye		or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.		
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in:		
	a felony committed after the d U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or		ed of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of a minor victim		
		r under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	tive device or any other dangerous weapon
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) or local offense.	was committed while the d	efendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has ela offense described in finding (1).	psed since the date of	of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a person or the community. I further f		t no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another rebutted that presumption.
Alternative Findings (A)			
√ (1)	There is probable cause to believe t	nat the defendant has com	mitted an offense
	for which a maximum prison to Controlled Substances Act (2 under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		prescribed in: .*
(2)		•	r finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions ety of the community.
√ (1)	There is a serious risk that the defer	Alternative Finding dant will not appear.	s (B)
(2)		• • •	ety of another person or the community.
Part II – Statement of the Reasons for Detention			
I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence a preponderance of the evidence that: defendant is a 49-year-old man with no stable residence, no employment, and no visible means of support. Before his arrest,			
he was and crin some pi she has	living in a homeless shelter. He has nes of domestic violence. His only op ressure to take him in. This is unacce	a criminal history spanning tion for housing is to live w eptable to the court becaus ugs; and (3) she may be im	30 years, including drug offenses, firearms offenses, ith the mother of his children, who has agreed under e (1) defendant has been convicted of battering her; (2) uplicated in the pending heroin charges. On the present
Part III – Directions Regarding Detention			
correction appeal. States Co	ns facility separate, to the extent prac The defendant must be afforded a rea	icable, from persons await sonable opportunity to con e Government, the person	al or a designated representative for confinement in a ing or serving sentences or held in custody pending sult privately with defense counsel. On order of United in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the
Date:	January 22, 2013	Judge's Signature: /s/	Joseph G. Scoville
		Name and Title: Jos	seph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge